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SECTION 1
SCOPE

Intertek Building & Construction (B&C) was contracted by Gruppo Piazzetta S.p.A, Via Montello, 22, Casella D’Asolo, TV 31011, Italy to perform testing in accordance with EPA 40 CFR Part 60 “Standards of Performance for New Residential Wood Heaters, New Residential Hydronic Heaters and Forced-Air Furnaces”, ASTM E2515-11- Standard Test Method for Determination of Particulate Matter Emissions Collected by a Dilution Tunnel, ASTM E2779-10 - Standard Test Method for Determining Particulate Matter Emissions from Pellet Heaters, and CSA B415.1-10 - Performance Testing of Solid-Fuel-Burning Heating Appliances on their Model Sabrina 2.0, Pellet Fuel Room Heater. Results obtained are tested values and were secured by using the designated test method(s). Testing was conducted at Intertek test facility in Middleton, WI.

This report does not constitute certification of this product nor an opinion or endorsement by this laboratory.

SECTION 2
SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

The appliance tests resulted in the following performance:
- Particulate Emissions: 1.155 g/hr
- Carbon Monoxide Emissions: 0.000 g/min
- Heating Efficiency: 81.8 % (Higher Heating Value Basis)
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SECTION 3
TEST METHOD(S)

The specimen was evaluated in accordance with the following:

- **CSA B415.1-2010** - Performance Testing of Solid-Fuel-Burning Heating Appliances

SECTION 4
MATERIAL SOURCE

A sample was submitted to Intertek directly from the client. The sample was not independently selected for testing. The test unit was received at Intertek in Middleton, WI on 2/13/19 and was shipped via the client. The unit was assigned sample ID # MID1902131240-002. The unit was inspected upon receipt and found to be in good condition. The unit was set up following the manufacturer's instructions without difficulty.

Following assembly, the unit was placed on the test stand. Prior to beginning the emissions tests, the unit was operated for a minimum of 10 hours at high-to-medium burn rates to break in the stove. This break-in period was conducted by Intertek staff and a copy of the data is included in the final report. The unit was found to be operating satisfactory during this break-in. The 10 plus hours of pre-burning were conducted from 02/14/19 through 02/15/19. The fuel used for the break-in process was wood pellets.

Following the pre-burn break-in process the unit was allowed to cool and ash and residue was removed from the firebox. The unit's chimney system and laboratory dilution tunnels were cleaned using standard wire brush chimney cleaning equipment. On 02/19/19 the unit was set-up for testing.
SECTION 5
EQUIPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>INV Number</th>
<th>Calibration Due</th>
<th>MU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platform Scale</td>
<td>008</td>
<td>4/10/19</td>
<td>±27g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>4/10/19</td>
<td>±0.47mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Logger</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>4/10/19</td>
<td>±0.33°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>4/10/19</td>
<td>±27g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>4/4/19</td>
<td>±0.3 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>4/4/19</td>
<td>±0.3 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Meter</td>
<td>1413</td>
<td>7/18/19</td>
<td>±17mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Meter</td>
<td>1414</td>
<td>7/18/19</td>
<td>±17mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barometer</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>4/12/19</td>
<td>±0.24°F, 1.7%RH, 0.011 in Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGM</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>6/27/19</td>
<td>±0.00284 ft³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION 6
LIST OF OFFICIAL OBSERVERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>COMPANY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ken Slater</td>
<td>Intertek B&amp;C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denis De Marchi</td>
<td>Gruppo Piazzetta S.p.A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION 7
TEST PROCEDURE

On 02/19/19, the unit was tested for EPA emissions. For pellet stoves, the test was conducted in accordance with ASTM E2779-10. The fuel used for the test run was premium-Grade Pellets (Marthwood).

The applicable EPA regulatory limits are:

Step 1 – 2015 – 4.5 grams per hour.
Step 2 – 2020 – 2.0 grams per hour.
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TEST SET-UP DESCRIPTION

A 3” horizontal flue is connected by a 90° elbow and adapters to a standard 6” diameter vertical single wall pipe and insulated chimney system was installed to 15’ above floor level. The single wall pipe extended to 8 feet above the floor and insulated chimney extended the remaining height.

AIR SUPPLY SYSTEM

Combustion air enters a 2” inlet pipe located on the back of the heater, which is directed to the pellet burn pot. All gases exit through the 3” flue also located at the back of the heater. The exhaust gases are assisted by a combustion blower.

TEST FUEL PROPERTIES

Wood pellets used for the testing were manufactured by Marthwood. The pellets have a measured heating value of 8528 Btu/hr (19836 kJ/kg) and a moisture content of 4.46% on a dry basis and 4.27% on a wet basis.

SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Particulate samples are collected from the dilution tunnel at a point 20 feet from the tunnel entrance. The tunnel has two elbows and two mixing baffles in the system ahead of the sampling section. (See Figure 3.) The sampling section is a continuous 13 foot section of 6 inch diameter pipe straight over its entire length. Tunnel velocity pressure is determined by a standard Pitot tube located 60 inches from the beginning of the sampling section. The dry bulb thermocouple is located six inches downstream from the Pitot tube. Tunnel samplers are located 60 inches downstream of the Pitot tube and 36 inches upstream from the end of this section. (See Figure 1.)

Stack gas samples are collected from the steel chimney section 8 feet ± 6 inches above the scale platform. (See Figure 2.)
FIGURE 1 – DILUTION TUNNEL

FIGURE 2 – STACK GAS SAMPLE TRAIN
FIGURE 3 – DILUTION TUNNEL SAMPLE SYSTEMS

SAMPLING METHODS

PARTICULATE SAMPLING

Particulates were sampled in strict accordance with ASTM E2515-2011. This method uses two identical sampling systems with Gelman A/E 61631 binder free, 47-mm diameter filters. The dryers used in the sample systems are filled with “Drierite” before each test run. In order to measure first-hour emissions rates the a third filter set is prepared at one hour into the test run, the filter sets are changed in one of the two sample trains. The two filter sets used for this train are analyzed individually to determine the first hour and total emissions rate.
INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION

DRY GAS METERS

At the conclusion of each test program the dry gas meters are checked against our standard dry gas meter. Three runs are made on each dry gas meter used during the test program. The average calibration factors obtained are then compared with the six-month calibration factor and, if within 5%, the six-month factor is used to calculate standard volumes. Results of this calibration are contained in Appendix D.

An integral part of the post test calibration procedure is a leak check of the pressure side by plugging the system exhaust and pressurizing the system to 10” W.C. The system is judged to be leak free if it retains the pressure for at least 10 minutes.

The standard dry gas meter is calibrated every 6 months using a Spirometer designed by the EPA Emissions Measurement Branch. The process involves sampling the train operation for 1 cubic foot of volume. With readings made to .001 ft³, the resolution is .1%, giving an accuracy higher than the ±2% required by the standard.

STACK SAMPLE ROTAMETER

The stack sample rotometer is checked by running three tests at each flow rate used during the test program. The flow rate is checked by running the rotometer in series with one of the dry gas meters for 10 minutes with the rotometer at a constant setting. The dry gas meter volume measured is then corrected to standard temperature and pressure conditions. The flow rate determined is then used to calculate actual sampled volumes.

GAS ANALYZERS

The continuous analyzers are zeroed and spanned before each test with appropriate gases. A mid-scale multi-component calibration gas is then analyzed (values are recorded). At the conclusion of a test, the instruments are checked again with zero, span and calibration gases (values are recorded only). The drift in each meter is then calculated and must not exceed 5% of the scale used for the test.

At the conclusion of each unit test program, a three-point calibration check is made. This calibration check must meet accuracy requirements of the applicable standards. Consistent deviations between analyzer readings and calibration gas concentrations are used to correct data before computer processing. Data is also corrected for interferences as prescribed by the instrument manufacturer’s instructions.
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TEST METHOD PROCEDURES

LEAK CHECK PROCEDURES

Before and after each test, each sample train is tested for leaks. Leakage rates are measured and must not exceed 0.02 CFM or 4% of the sampling rate. Leak checks are performed checking the entire sampling train, not just the dry gas meters. Pre-test and post-test leak checks are conducted with a vacuum of 10 inches of mercury. Vacuum is monitored during each test and the highest vacuum reached is then used for the post test vacuum value. If leakage limits are not met, the test run is rejected. During these tests the vacuum was typically less than 2 inches of mercury. Thus, leakage rates reported are expected to be much higher than actual leakage during the tests.

TUNNEL VELOCITY/FLOW MEASUREMENT

The tunnel velocity is calculated from a center point Pitot tube signal multiplied by an adjustment factor. This factor is determined by a traverse of the tunnel as prescribed in EPA Method 1. Final tunnel velocities and flow rates are calculated from EPA Method 2, Equation 6.9 and 6.10. (Tunnel cross sectional area is the average from both lines of traverse.)

Pitot tubes are cleaned before each test and leak checks are conducted after each test.

PM SAMPLING PROPORTIONALITY

Proportionality was calculated in accordance with ASTM E2515-11. The data and results are included in Appendix C.

DEViations FROM STANDARD METHOD:

SECTION 8
TEST CALCULATIONS

WEIGHT OF TEST FUEL BURNED (DRY) – ASTM E2779

\[ M_{Bdb} = \frac{(M_{Swb} - M_{Ewb})}{(100/(100 + FM))} \]

where:
FM \quad = \text{average fuel moisture of test fuel, \% dry basis,}
M_{Swb} \quad = \text{weight of test fuel in hopper at start of test run, wet basis, kg (lb),}
M_{Ewb} \quad = \text{weight of test fuel in hopper at end of test run, wet basis, kg (lb), and}
M_{Bdb} \quad = \text{weight of test fuel burned during test run, dry basis, kg (lb).}
WEIGHT OF TEST FUEL BURNED PER TEST SEGMENT (DRY) – ASTM E2779

\[ M_{BSidb} = (M_{SSwb} - M_{ESwb}) \frac{100}{100 + FM} \]

where:
- \( M_{SSwb} \) = weight of test fuel in hopper at start of test run segment \( i \), wet basis, kg (lb),
- \( M_{ESwb} \) = weight of test fuel in hopper at end of test run segment \( i \), wet basis, kg (lb),
- \( M_{BSidb} \) = weight of test fuel burned during test run segment \( i \), dry basis, kg (lb), and
- \( i \) = test run segments in accordance with 9.4, Table 1.

AVERAGE BURN RATE FOR FULL TEST (DRY) – ASTM E2779

\[ BR = 60 \frac{M_{BSdb}}{\theta} \]

where:
- \( BR \) = average dry burn rate over the full integrated test run, kg/h (lb/h), and
- \( \theta \) = total length of full integrated test run, min.

AVERAGE BURN RATE PER TEST SEGMENT (DRY) – ASTM E2779

\[ BR_{Si} = 60 \frac{M_{BSidb}}{\theta_{Si}} \]

where:
- \( BR_{Si} \) = average dry burn rate over test run segment \( i \), kg/h (lb/h), and
- \( \theta_{Si} \) = total length of test run segment \( i \), min.

AVERAGE EMISSION RATE FOR FULL TEST (g/hr) – ASTM E2779

\[ PM_{Ir} = 60 \frac{E_{T}}{\theta} \]

where:
- \( E_{T} \) = total particulate emissions for full integrated test run measured using Test Method E2515, g (lb),
- \( \theta \) = total length of test run, min, and
- \( PM_{Ir} \) = average particulate emission rate over the full integrated test run, g/h.

AVERAGE EMISSION FACTOR FOR FULL TEST (g/kg dry) – ASTM E2779

\[ PM_{F} = \frac{E_{T}}{M_{BSdb}} \]

where:
- \( PM_{F} \) = average particulate emission factor over the full integrated test run, g/dry kg of fuel burned.
AVERAGE EMISSIONS FOR FULL TEST (g/MJ or lb/MMBtu) – ASTM E2779

\[ PM_H = \frac{E_T}{E_O} \]

where:
\[ E_O \] = average measured overall heat output over the full integrated test run from Annex A1, MJ (MMBTU), and
\[ PM_H \] = average particulate emissions in accordance with unit of average heat output over the full integrated test run, g/MJ (lb/MMBTu).

NOMENCLATURE FOR ASTM E2515:

\[ A \] = Cross-sectional area of tunnel m² (ft²).
\[ B_{ws} \] = Water vapor in the gas stream, proportion by volume (assumed to be 0.02 (2.0 %)).
\[ C_p \] = Pitot tube coefficient, dimensionless (assigned a value of 0.99).
\[ c_r \] = Concentration of particulate matter room air, dry basis, corrected to standard conditions, g/dscm (gr/dscf) (mg/dscf).
\[ c_s \] = Concentration of particulate matter in tunnel gas, dry basis, corrected to standard conditions, g/dscm (gr/dscf) (mg/dscf).
\[ E_T \] = Total particulate emissions, g.
\[ F_p \] = Adjustment factor for center of tunnel pitot tube placement.
\[ K_p \] = Pitot Tube Constant, 34.97 \( \frac{m}{sec} \left[ \frac{g}{mol} \right] \left[ \frac{mm Hg}{K(mm water)} \right] \frac{1}{2} \)

or
\[ K_p = Pitot Tube Constant, 85.49 \left[ \frac{ft}{sec} \right] \left[ \frac{lb-mole}{in Hg} \right] \frac{1}{2} \]

\[ L_a \] = Maximum acceptable leakage rate for either a pretest or post-test leak- check, equal to 0.0003 m³/min (0.010 cfm) or 4 % of the average sampling rate, whichever is less.
\[ L_p \] = Leakage rate observed during the post-test leak-check, m³/min (cfm).
\[ m_p \] = mass of particulate from probe, mg.
\[ m_f \] = mass of particulate from filters, mg.
\[ m_g \] = mass of particulate from filter gaskets, mg.
\[ m_r \] = mass of particulate from the filter, filter gasket, and probe assembly from the room air blank filter holder assembly, mg.
\[ m_n \] = Total amount of particulate matter collected, mg.
\[ M_s \] = the dilution tunnel dry gas molecular weight (may be assumed to be 29 g/g mole (lb/lb mole).
\[ P_{bar} \] = Barometric pressure at the sampling site, mm Hg (in. Hg).
\[ P_g \] = Static Pressure in the tunnel (in. water).
\[ P_s \] = Percent of proportional sampling rate.
\[ P_s \] = Absolute average gas static pressure in dilution tunnel, mm Hg (in. Hg).
\[ P_{std} \] = Standard absolute pressure, 760 mm Hg (29.92 in. Hg).
\[ Q_{std} \] = Average gas flow rate in dilution tunnel.
Q_{std} = 60 \left(1 - B_{ws}\right) V_S A \left[T_{std} P_s / T_s P_{std}\right]
dscm/min (dcf/min).

T_m = \text{Absolute average dry gas meter temperature, K (R)}.
T_{mi} = \text{Absolute average dry gas meter temperature during each 10-min interval, } i, \text{ of the test run.}
\quad T_{mi} = (T_{mi(b)} + T_{mi(e)})/2

where:
T_{mi(b)} = \text{Absolute dry gas meter temperature at the beginning of each 10-min test interval, } i, \text{ of the test run, K (R)},
T_{mi(e)} = \text{Absolute dry gas meter temperature at the end of each 10-min test interval, } i, \text{ of the test run, K (R)}.

T_s = \text{Absolute average gas temperature in the dilution tunnel, K (R)}.
T_{si} = \text{Absolute average gas temperature in the dilution tunnel during each 10-min interval, } i, \text{ of the test run, K (R)}.
\quad T_{si} = (T_{si(b)} + T_{si(e)})/2

where:
T_{si(b)} = \text{Absolute gas temperature in the dilution tunnel at the beginning of each 10-min test interval, } i, \text{ of the test run, K (R),}
T_{si(e)} = \text{Absolute gas temperature in the dilution tunnel at the end of each 10-min test interval, } i, \text{ of the test run, K (R)}.

V_m = \text{Volume of gas sample as measured by dry gas meter, dcm (dcf)}.
V_{mc} = \text{Volume of gas sampled corrected for the post test leak rate, dcm (dcf)}.
V_{mi} = \text{Volume of gas sample as measured by dry gas meter during each 10-min interval, } i, \text{ of the test run, dcm}.

V_{m(std)} = \text{Volume of gas sample measured by the dry gas meter, corrected to standard conditions.}
\quad V_{m(std)} = K_1 V_m Y \left[\left(P_{bar} + (\Delta H/13.6)\right)/T_m\right]

where:
K_1 = 0.3855 \text{ K/mm Hg for SI units and = 17.64 R/in. Hg for inch-pound units.}

V_{mc} = \text{Volume of room air sample as measured by dry gas meter, dcm (dcf)}.
V_{mr} = \text{Volume of room air sample as measured by the dry gas meter, dcm (dcf)}.

V_{mr(std)} = \text{Volume of room air sample measured by the dry gas meter, corrected to standard conditions.}
\quad V_{mr(std)} = K_1 V_{mr} Y \left[\left(P_{bar} + (\Delta H/13.6)\right)/T_m\right]

where:
V_{mr} = \text{Volume of room air sample as measured by dry gas meter, dcm (dcf)}.
V_{mr} = V_{mr} (L_p - L_a) u

V_s = \text{Average gas velocity in the dilution tunnel.}
\quad V_s = F_p K_p C_p (v_{avg}) (v(T_s/P_1 M_i))
V_{si} = \text{Average gas velocity in dilution tunnel during each 10-min interval, } i, \text{ of the test run.}
\begin{equation}
V_{si} = F_p K_p C_p \left( \sqrt{\Delta P_i} \right) \left( \sqrt{\frac{T_{si}}{P_s} M_s} \right)
\end{equation}

V_{scents} = \text{Average gas velocity at the center of the dilution tunnel calculated after the Pitot tube traverse.}

V_{strav} = \text{Average gas velocity calculated after the multipoint Pitot traverse.}

Y = \text{Dry gas meter calibration factor.}

\Delta H = \text{Average pressure at the outlet of the dry gas meter or the average differential pressure across the orifice meter, if used, mm water (in. water).}

\Delta P_{avg} = \text{Average velocity pressure in the dilution tunnel, mm water (in. water).}

\Delta P_i = \text{Velocity pressure in the dilution tunnel as measured with the Pitot tube during each 10-min interval, } i, \text{ of the test run.}
\begin{equation}
\Delta P_i = \left( \Delta P_{i(b)} + \Delta P_{i(e)} \right) / 2
\end{equation}

where:

\Delta P_{i(b)} = \text{Velocity pressure in the dilution tunnel as measured with the Pitot tube at the beginning of each 10-min interval, } i, \text{ of the test run, mm water (in. water), and}

\Delta P_{i(e)} = \text{Velocity pressure in the dilution tunnel as measured with the Pitot tube at the end of each 10-min interval, } i, \text{ of the test run, mm water (in. water).}

\theta = \text{Total sampling time, min.}

10 = \text{ten min, length of first sampling period.}

13.6 = \text{Specific gravity of mercury.}

100 = \text{Conversion to percent.}

TOTAL PARTICULATE WEIGHT – ASTM E2515
\begin{equation}
M_n = m_p + m_f + m_g
\end{equation}

PARTICULATE CONCENTRATION – ASTM E2515
\begin{equation}
C_s = K_2 \frac{m_p}{V_{m(ats)}} \text{ g/dscm (g/dscf)}
\end{equation}

where:

K_2 = 0.001 \text{ g/mg}

TOTAL PARTICULATE EMISSIONS (g) – ASTM E2515
\begin{equation}
E_T = (C_s - C_{r}) Q_{std} \theta
\end{equation}

PROPORTIONAL RATE VARIATION (%) – ASTM E2515
\begin{equation}
PR = \left[ \frac{\theta(V_{mi} V_s T_m T_{si})}{10(V_{mi} V_{si} T_m T_{mi})} \right] \times 100
\end{equation}

MEASUREMENT OF UNCERTAINTY – ASTM E2515
\begin{equation}
M_{\text{weighing}} = \sqrt{0.12} \times X
\end{equation}
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GENERAL FORMULA – ASTM E2515

\[ u_Y = \sqrt{((\delta Y/\delta x_1) \times u_1)^2 + \ldots + ((\delta Y/\delta x_n) \times u_n)^2} \]

Where:
\[ \delta Y/\delta x_i = \text{Partial derivative of the combining formula with respect to individual measurement } x_i, \]
\[ u_i = \text{is the uncertainty associated with that measurement}. \]

TOTAL PARTICULATE EMISSIONS – ASTM E2515

\[ E_T = (c_s - c_r) \times Q_{std} \theta \]

where:
\[ c_s = \text{sample filter catch/(sample flow rate x test duration), g/dscf}, \]
\[ c_r = \text{room background filter catch/(sample flow x sampling time), g/dscf}, \]
\[ Q_{std} = \text{average dilution tunnel flow rate, dscf/min}, \]
\[ \theta = \text{sampling time, minutes}. \]

MU OF \( c_s \)

\[ c_s = \frac{F_c}{(Q_{sample} \times \theta)} = \frac{0.025}{(0.25 \times 180)} = 0.000555 \]
\[ \delta c_s/\delta F_c = 1/Q_{sample}, \theta = 1/0.25 \times 180 = 0.0222 \]
\[ \delta c_s/\delta Q_{sample} = -F_c/Q_{sample}^2, \theta = 0.025/0.25^2 \times 180 = -0.00222 \]
\[ \delta c_s/\delta \theta = -F_c/Q_{sample} \times \theta^2 = -0.025/0.25 \times 180 = -0.000003 \]
\[ \text{MUC}_s = \sqrt{(0.00027 \times 0.0222)^2 + (0.0025 \times -0.00222)^2 + (0.1 \times -0.000003)^2} = 0.0000091 \]

Thus, \( c_s \) would be 0.555 mg/dscf ± 0.0081 mg/dscf at 95% confidence level.

MU OF \( c_r \)

\[ c_r = \frac{BG_c}{(QBG \times \theta)} = \frac{0.002}{(0.15 \times 180)} = 0.000074 \]
\[ \delta c_r/\delta BG_c = 1/QBG, \theta = 1/0.15 \times 180 = 0.03704 \]
\[ \delta c_r/\delta QBG = -BG_c/QBG^2, \theta = 0.002/0.15^2 \times 180 = -0.0004938 \]
\[ \delta c_r/\delta \theta = -BG_c/QBG \times \theta^2 = -0.002/0.15 \times 180^2 = -0.0000004 \]
\[ \text{MUC}_r = \sqrt{(0.00027 \times 0.03704)^2 + (0.0015 \times -0.0004938)^2 + (0.1 \times -0.0000004)^2} = 0.000001g \]

Thus, \( c_r \) would be 0.074 mg/dscf ± 0.01 mg/dscf at 95% confidence level.

\( E_T \) AND MU\( E_T \)

\[ E_T = (c_s - c_r) \times Q_{std} \times \theta = (0.000555 - 0.000074) \times 150 \times 180 = 13.00g \]
\[ \delta E_T/\delta c_s = Q_{std}, \theta = 150 \times 180 = 27,000 \]
\[ \delta E_T/\delta c_r = Q_{std}, \theta = 150 \times 180 = 27,000 \]
\[ \delta E_T/\delta Q_{std} = c_s - c_r, \theta = 0.000555 \times 180 - 0.000074 \times 180 = 0.08667 \]
\[ \delta E_T/\delta \theta = c_s - c_r, Q_{std} = 0.000555 \times 180 - 0.000074 \times 180 = 0.07222 \]
\[ \text{MU}_{E_T} = \sqrt{(27,000 \times 0.0000081)^2 + (27,000 \times 0.00001)^2 + (0.08667 \times 3)^2} \]
\[ \times (0.07222 \times 0.1)^2 = 0.436 \]
Thus the result in this example would be: 
ET = 13.00g ± 0.44 g at a 95% confidence level.

EFFICIENCY – CSA B415.1

The change in enthalpy of the circulating air shall be calculated using the moisture content and temperature rise of the circulating air, as follows:

\[
\Delta h = \Delta t (1.006 + 1.84x)
\]

Where:
- \( \Delta h \) = change in enthalpy, kJ/kg
- \( \Delta t \) = temperature rise, °C
- 1.006 = specific heat of air, kJ/kg °C
- 1.84 = specific heat of water vapor, kJ/kg °C
- \( x \) = humidity ratio, kg/kg

The equivalent duct diameter shall be calculated as follows:

\[
ED = 2HW/H+W
\]

Where:
- \( ED \) = equivalent duct diameter
- \( H \) = duct height, m
- \( W \) = duct width, m

The air flow velocity shall be calculated as follows:

\[
V = F_p \times C_p \times 34.97 \times \sqrt{VT/28.56(\Delta Pbaro + P_s)}
\]

where
- \( V \) = velocity, m/s
- \( F_p \) = Pitot tube calibration factor determined from vane anemometer measurements
- \( C_p \) = Pitot factor
  - 0.99 for a standard Pitot tube or as determined by calibration for a Type S Pitot tube
- 34.97 = Pitot tube constant
  - Note: The Pitot tube constant is determined on the basis of the following units: m/s[g/g mole (mm Hg)/(K)(mm H2O)]^{0.5}
- \( \Delta P \) = velocity pressure, mm H2O
- \( T \) = temperature, K
- 28.56 = molecular weight of air
- \( P_{baro} \) = barometric pressure, mm Hg
- \( P_s \) = duct static pressure, mm Hg
The mass flow rate shall be calculated as follows:

\[ m = 3600VA \]

where:
\( m \) = mass flow rate, kg/h  
\( V \) = air flow velocity, m/s  
\( 3600 \) = number of seconds per hour  
\( A \) = duct cross-sectional area, m²  
\( p \) = density of air at standard temperature and pressure (use 1.204 kg/m³)

The rate of heat release into the circulating air shall be calculated using the air flow and change in enthalpy, as follows:

\[ \Delta e = \Delta h \times m \]

Where:
\( \Delta e \) = rate of heat release into the circulating air, kJ/h  
\( \Delta h \) = change in enthalpy of the circulating air, kJ/kg  
\( m \) = mass air flow rate, kg/h

The heat output over any time interval shall be calculated as the sum of the heat released over each measurement time interval, as follows:

\[ E_t = \sum (\Delta e \times i) \text{ for } i = t_1 \text{ to } t_2 \]

Where:
\( E_t \) = delivered heat output over any time interval \( t_2 - t_1 \), kJ  
\( i \) = time interval for each measurement, h

The average heat output rate over any time interval shall be calculated as follows:

\[ e_t = \frac{E_t}{t} \]

where
\( e_t \) = average heat output, kJ/h  
\( t \) = time interval over which the average output is desired, h

The total heat output during the burn shall be calculated as the sum of all the heat outputs over each time interval, as follows:

\[ E_d = \sum (E_t) \text{ for } t = t_0 \text{ to } t_{\text{final}} \]

Where:
\( E_d \) = heat output over a burn, kJ/h (Btu/h)  
\( E_t \) = heat output during each time interval, kJ/h (Btu/h)
The efficiency shall be calculated as the total heat output divided by the total energy input, expressed as a percentage as follows:

\[
\text{Efficiency, } \% = 100 \times \frac{E_d}{I}
\]

Where:

- \(E_d\) = total heat output of the appliance over the test period, kJ/kg
- \(I\) = input energy (fuel calorific value as-fired times weight of fuel charge), kJ/kg (Btu/lb)

SECTION 9
TEST SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION

The model Sabrina 2.0 Pellet Fuel Room Heater is constructed of sheet steel. The outer dimensions are 21.25-inches deep, 45.25-inches high, and 25.25-inches wide. The unit has a door located on the front with a viewing glass.

SECTION 10
TEST RESULTS

DESCRIPTION OF TEST RUNS:

RUN #1 (02/19/19): The test for pellet heaters is a continuous test with three separate burn rates. At 8:01am the unit was started and operated for a minimum of 1 hour for the pretest operation. At 9:01am the unit was set to the maximum feed rate (level P5) with a burn rate of 2.51 kg/hr (wet), the scale was tared and a 25-lb weight was added to the scale to determine feed rate of the fuel, and the sampling system was started. At 10:01am, the system #3 sampling filter was changed out and the unit was set to ≤50% feed rate (level P2) with a burn rate of 1.23 kg/hr (wet). At 12:01am, the heater was changed to the minimum feed rate (level P1) with a burn rate of 0.85 kg/hr (wet). At 3:01pm, testing was completed. The total burn time was 360 minutes.

TABLE 1 – EMISSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN#</th>
<th>TEST DATE</th>
<th>BURN RATES (kg/hr)(Dry)</th>
<th>PARTICULATE EMISSION RATE (g/hr)</th>
<th>1st HOUR EMISSIONS (g)</th>
<th>CO EMISSIONS (g/min)</th>
<th>HEATING EFFICIENCY (%HHV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2/19/19</td>
<td>H* 2.40</td>
<td>1.155</td>
<td>1.934</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M* 1.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L* 0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OA* 1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes: H= High burn rate, M= Medium burn rate, L= low burn rate, OA= overall burn rate.
### TABLE 2 – TEST FACILITY CONDITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>ROOM TEMP BEFORE (°F)</th>
<th>ROOM TEMP AFTER (°F)</th>
<th>BARO PRES BEFORE (in/Hg)</th>
<th>BARO PRES AFTER (in/Hg)</th>
<th>R. H. BEFORE (%)</th>
<th>R. H. AFTER (%)</th>
<th>AIR VEL BEFORE (ft/min)</th>
<th>AIR VEL AFTER (ft/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>29.54</td>
<td>29.41</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 3 – DILUTION TUNNEL FLOW RATE MEASUREMENTS AND SAMPLING DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>BURN TIME (min)</th>
<th>VELOCITY (ft/sec)</th>
<th>VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE (dscf/min)</th>
<th>AVG TEMP (°R)</th>
<th>SAMPLE VOLUME (dscf)</th>
<th>PARTICULATE CATCH (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>22.44</td>
<td>247.27</td>
<td>544.56</td>
<td>84.83</td>
<td>84.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 4 - DILUTION TUNNEL DUAL TRAIN PRECISION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>SAMPLE RATIOS</th>
<th>TOTAL EMISSIONS (g)</th>
<th>DEVIATION (%)</th>
<th>DEVIATION (g/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRAIN 1</td>
<td>TRAIN 2</td>
<td>TRAIN 1</td>
<td>TRAIN 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1049.41</td>
<td>1050.96</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>7.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 5 - GENERAL SUMMARY OF RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>BURN RATE (kg/hr)(dry) (OVERALL)</th>
<th>INITIAL DRAFT (in/H_2O)</th>
<th>RUN TIME (min)</th>
<th>AVERAGE DRAFT (in/H_2O)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 6 - CSA B415.1 RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BURN RATE (kg/hr)(dry)</th>
<th>CO EMISSIONS (g/min)</th>
<th>HEATING EFFICIENCY (% HHV)</th>
<th>HEAT OUTPUT (Btu/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIGH – 2.40</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>37,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIUM – 1.18</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>18,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW – 0.81</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>12,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVERALL – 1.20</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>18,491</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 11
CONCLUSION

This test demonstrates that the model Sabrina 2.0 is an affected facility under the definition given in the regulation. The emission rate of 1.155 g/hr meets the EPA requirements for the Step 2 limits.

The results from testing the Sabrina 2.0 can be extended to similar models Sally 2.0, Solange 2.0, and Sveva 2.0. All models use the same internal components with only external cosmetic differences between each model.
SECTION 12
PHOTOGRAPHS
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